Ossicles associated with chronic pain around the malleoli of the ankle
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We undertook a retrospective review of 24 arthroscopic procedures in patients with symptomatic ossicles around the malleoli of the ankle. Most of the patients had a history of injury and localised tenderness in the area coinciding with the radiological findings. Contrast-enhanced three-dimensional fast-spoiled gradient-echo MRI was performed and the results compared with the arthroscopic findings. An enhanced signal surrounding soft tissue corresponding to synovial inflammation and impingement was found in 20 patients (83%). The arthroscopic findings correlated well with those of our MRI technique and the sensitivity was estimated to be 91%. At a mean follow-up of 30.5 months (20 to 86) the mean American Orthopaedic Foot and Ankle Society score improved from 74.5 to 93 points (p < 0.001). Overall, the rate of patient satisfaction was 88%.

Our results indicate that symptomatic ossicles of the malleoli respond well to arthroscopic treatment.

Ossicles adjacent to the malleoli of the ankle may cause chronic pain. Various hypotheses have been proposed to explain their presence. It has been suggested that those found adjacent to the tip of the medial and lateral malleoli are abnormal accessory bones. Those appearing after epiphyseal closure and showing characteristics of fracture fragments possibly result from avulsion fractures.1-10 Opinions differ as to why intra-articular ossicles cause pain. Those in the knee or elbow do so by rubbing or irritating the subchondral bone. However, those around the ankle are within or attached to ligaments or soft tissues and have relatively reduced intra-articular mobility. Pain induced by these ossicles may be caused by some other mechanism.

Hasegawa et al6 and Bonnin and Bouysset11 found that removing intra-articular ossicles in the ankle arthroscopically gave good results. However, information on the diagnosis, radiological characteristics and management of pain related to ossicles around the ankle is still limited.

We observed enhancement of the signal in ossicles around the malleolus by applying three-dimensional (3-D) contrast-enhanced, fat-suppressed, fast-gradient-recalled acquisition in the steady state with radio-frequency-spoiling MRI which had been previously introduced for the diagnosis of intra-articular synovitis or soft-tissue impingement.12,13 After arthroscopic examination and treatment, we analysed the diagnostic usefulness of this technique. In addition, the therapeutic efficiency of arthroscopic treatment and its relationship to other clinical factors was evaluated.

Patients and Methods

Between March 2000 and December 2004, we studied 24 patients who had been diagnosed with ossicles which might have been due to avulsion fractures of a malleolus. The diagnosis was based on a history of trauma to the ankle, symptoms appearing after epiphyseal closure, a congruent shape of the ossicles with the relevant malleolus on radiological examination and congruence of the ossicles with the relevant malleolus. Between March 2000 and December 2004, we...
rienced sudden pain in the ankle due to an injury which occurred within six months or who had chronic instability of the ankle were excluded. All the patients received conservative treatment for more than three months. If the pain persisted, arthroscopic surgery was performed.

There were 16 males and eight females, with a mean age of 26.4 years (14 to 56). The right ankle was affected in 14 patients and the left in ten. The mean duration of symptoms before surgery was 29.7 months (6 to 96) (Table I). The patients were followed up for a mean of 30.5 months (20 to 86).

**Radiological examination.** Conventional radiographs and MR scans were taken for all patients. The location of the ossicles in the anteroposterior radiograph was categorised as level A for those below the tip of the malleolus and level B when on the articular side. The longest fragments as seen on the anteroposterior radiographs were measured and described as small for those < 5 mm, medium for those between 5 mm and 10 mm and large for those ≥ 10 mm. The form of the ossicles was classified as either angular or round-oval when in contact with the malleolus. The angular form was further divided into sharp and blunt depending on the surface contacting the malleolus. The presence or absence of an osteosclerotic rim on the surface in contact with the malleolus was also determined. The mean values for radiological assessment were evaluated by three examiners (SHH, WJC, SK).

MR scans were obtained using a 1.5 T superconducting MR scanner (Sigma; GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, Wisconsin) at 3 mm intervals using a 20 cm short coil with the foot in the neutral position. Spin-echo was used for T1-enhanced imaging (TR/TE, 517/9; matrix, 256 × 192, two signals acquired) and T2-enhanced imaging (TR/TE, 2000/70; matrix 256 × 192, two signals acquired) to obtain sagittal, coronal and axial images (Fig. 1). In order to observe the level of inflammation and thickening of the joint capsule in the vicinity of the ossicles, additional coronal imaging was performed using a 3-D CE fat-suppressed fast-gradient-recalled MR technique. The enhancement on MRI was categorised as follows: grade I, none; grade II, linear; grade III, focal nodular; and grade IV, irregular nodular. Grade III or grade IV indicated synovitis or soft-tissue impingement. The MR scan readings were analysed by two radiologists (including SK).

**Arthroscopic surgery.** The arthroscopic procedures were undertaken by one surgeon (JWL). The knee was flexed over the end of the operating table and the ankle suspended. Traction with a load of 6 kg to 8 kg was applied through an ankle harness to expand the joint space. Standard anteromedial and anterolateral portals were used with
additional inferior (anterolateral or anteromedial) portals 1.5 cm below the standard sites as needed. After inserting the arthroscope, the lesions in the joint, synovitis, soft-tissue impingement, osteochondral lesions of the talus and injury to the syndesmosis were examined. The relationship of the ossicles, the malleolus, the surrounding soft tissue and the ligaments were examined using a probe. Ossicles were removed using pituitary forceps and a motorised shaver to reduce damage to the ligaments when separating the fragments from soft tissue (Fig. 2).

After operation the patients were allowed to bear weight as tolerated, and muscle stimulating and strengthening exercises were encouraged for three weeks to achieve a normal range of movement. High impact sports were allowed 12 weeks after surgery.

The American Orthopaedic Foot and Ankle Society (AOFAS) ankle-hindfoot scale (pain, 40 points; function, 50 points; alignment 10 points) was used to evaluate the clinical outcomes and the criteria of Meislin et al. to assess patient satisfaction.

Table II. Grade of contrast-enhancement of 3-D contrast-enhanced fat-suppressed, fast-gradient-recalled MRI in the 24 patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Number of patients (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>No enhancement</td>
<td>3 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Linear enhancement</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Focal nodular enhancement</td>
<td>9 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Irregular nodular enhancement</td>
<td>11 (46)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Statistical analysis. The Mann-Whitney U test was used for statistical analysis and the results were considered to be statistically significant if the p-value was ≤ 0.05.

Results
Clinical evaluation. At presentation 22 of the 24 patients had pain after lengthy exercise. One patient could not recall a history of trauma, but the others had experienced an inversion injury. Local tenderness was observed in all cases, most commonly at the anterolateral joint area in 18 patients (75%). Tenderness was found at the anteromedial joint line in eight patients and at the inferolateral joint line in two. Pain and tenderness were present on both the lateral and medial sides in five patients. The tender points corresponded to the location of ossicles and concomitant conditions such as an osteochondral lesion of the talus and talocalcaneal coalition.

Radiological evaluation. Seven patients (29%) had ossicles at level A and 17 (71%) at level B. The size of the ossicles was < 5 mm in 11 patients (46%), between 5 mm and 10 mm in 11 (46%), and > 10 mm in two (8%). They were sharply angular without an osteosclerotic rim in 12 patients (50%), bluntly angular without an osteosclerotic rim in seven (29%), round-oval without an osteosclerotic rim in four (17%) and round-oval with an osteosclerotic rim in one (4%). There were unilateral lesions at the lateral malleolus in 20 patients (83%), a single lesion at the medial malleolus in three (13%) and a bimalleolar lesion in one (4%). Two patients had two ossicles at the tip of the lateral malleolus.
MRI showed thickening of the anterior talofibular ligament in 14 patients (58%) and a ruptured anterior talofibular ligament in three (13%). Enhanced signs around the ossicles in 3-D contrast-enhanced fat-suppressed, fast-gradient-recalled MR scans were grade I in three patients (13%), grade II in one (4%), grade III in nine (37%) and grade IV in 11 (46%) (Table II). Positive enhancement of the signal was present in 20 patients, reflecting synovitis and hypertrophic soft-tissue impingement around the ossicles.

**Arthroscopic findings and associated lesions.** There were 15 patients (63%) with spaces between the malleolus and the fragments seen on arthroscopic examination. A further nine (37%) had a space between the malleolus and the fibrous tissue with bone fragments fixed within the thickened fibrous tissues or ligaments. There were 22 patients (92%) with synovitis and hypertrophic soft-tissue impingement around the ossicles. These appearances correlated well with the MR scans with a sensitivity of 91%, since 20 of the 22 cases had been correctly diagnosed by 3-D contrast-enhanced fat-suppressed, fast-gradient-recalled MRI (Figs 3 and 4). Osteochondral lesions of the talus were present in five patients, in three on the medial and in two on the lateral side. Two patients showed injuries to the distal tibiofibular syndesmosis and two had anterior bony spurs.

The mean ankle-hindfoot AOFAS score increased from 74.5 points (66 to 80) pre-operatively to 93 points (78 to 100) after operation (p < 0.05). The degree of patient satisfaction was excellent in 11, good in ten, fair in two and poor in one. The latter three patients had a concomitant lesion of talocalcaneal coalition or no signal enhancement of the soft tissue around the ossicles on MRI.

**Discussion**

The diagnosis and treatment of the chronic ankle pain associated with ossicles have not yet been established. The development of ossicles has been attributed either to an abnormal centre of ossification or to an avulsion injury. A secondary centre for ossification for the medial and lateral malleolus has been described in 20% and 1%, respectively, of children aged between six and 12 years. This secondary centre fuses by the age of 14 years. Ossicles found after this age have been described as avulsion fragments associated with trauma and recurrent injury to the ankle. In addition, Leimbach suggested that a history of ankle trauma was an important factor in the differential diagnosis of a secondary ossification centre and an avulsion fracture. In our study, all the patients with chronic ankle pain related to trauma were over 14 years of age. Radiographs showed that most of the bony fragments were irregularly shaped and compatible with the inferior border of the malleolus. MRI demonstrated that many ossicles were attached to surrounding ligaments. We considered that the ossicles in our patients were remnants of avulsion fractures. Most patients had a history of an inversion injury and their symptoms settled after arthroscopically-assisted removal of the ossicles. All of our patients complained of local tenderness near the site of the ossicle on arthroscopic or radiological examination.

Some studies have described an ossicle at the lateral malleolus which was associated with recurrent instability of the ankle and recommended that its removal should be combined with reconstruction of the ligament. In our patients, no direct relationship between instability of the ankle and the presence of ossicles was established. There is a possibility that instability may arise after excessive debriement of the ligaments, but none was observed in our patients. Debridement was carried out with great care in order to minimise damage to the ligaments.

There are several reports that describe the major characteristics of avulsion lesions. These include irregularity of the surface in contact with the malleolus as seen on radiographs, the location of the fragment, a sharp angular shape and conformity with the fracture surface of the malleolus. Hasegawa et al demonstrated a relationship between avulsion of the calcaneofibular and anterior talofibular ligaments radiologically in four level A (29%) and ten level B patients (71%). In our study most were sharply angular fragments without an osteosclerotic rim similar to those described by Hasegawa et al. Bone scans or arthograms have been recommended as additional tests, but the 3-D contrast-enhanced fat-suppressed, fast-gradient-recalled MR technique showed a high efficiency in evaluating ossicles caused by avulsion fractures with accompanying chronic pain in the ankle. The pain appeared to be derived from the recurrent irritation of ossicles on the surrounding soft tissue which induced synovitis and hypertrophic soft-tissue impingement. The removal of these pathological tissues with the ossicles gave a satisfactory outcome. Other studies have had similar results.
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