Short-term outcome in total knee replacement after soft-tissue release and balancing
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We studied the influence of soft-tissue releases and soft-tissue balance on the outcome of 526 total knee replacements one year after operation. The surgery had been performed by seven surgeons in five centres in the United Kingdom between October 1999 and December 2002. Balancing was carried out by five surgeons using spacers and trials and by two surgeons using a 'balancer' instrument. All the surgeons assessed the adequacy of their releases by taking measurements with the balancer after soft-tissue release before implanting the components. Independent observers collected the Oxford knee scores and applied the American Knee Society functional and knee scores as well as recording the range of movement of the replaced knee. These were compared with the pre-operative scores and the extent of the releases.

We found differences in outcomes between minimal and extensive releases and between balanced and imbalanced knees.

Knees requiring extensive soft-tissue releases showed greater change in the short-term clinical outcome without increased complications and achieved similar results at one year compared with those with less deformity pre-operatively which had required less soft-tissue release. Balancing an imbalanced knee improved the short-term knee outcome.

Total knee replacement (TKR) is a common procedure with more than 53 000 performed annually in the United Kingdom.1 Over the last 40 years there have been many advances in the design of implants, materials, instrumentation and surgical technique. However, certain key principles have remained unchanged including the importance of obtaining soft-tissue balance and correct alignment of the knee.2-6

Long-term survival of the prosthesis in TKR is essential for a successful outcome. In 1985 Insall7 deemed the correct balance of the knee to be the most critical factor for the success of the operation. Previous work carried out by one of the senior authors (AS) has illustrated the detrimental effects of imbalance on the long-term survival in TKR.8 Other studies have reported similar findings and highlight that soft-tissue imbalance and bony malalignment in TKR lead to malfunction and failure.2,4,9-15

Until recently, measuring the degree of balance intra-operatively has relied on a subjective assessment by the operating surgeon. However, the requirement for more accurate measurement of the degree of balance of the knee at the time of surgery has led to the development of an instrument which allows objective measurements to be made in a reproducible manner.16

Balancing the arthritic knee by soft-tissue releases is technically demanding and is associated with a number of possible complications17 such as an increased risk of post-operative haematoma, wound complications and the subsequent risk of infection. Increasing the size of the flexion and extension gap after extensive releasing procedures may alter the position of the joint line,18 which in turn may have a detrimental effect on the range of movement and the function of the extensor mechanism19 and adversely affect the clinical outcome.20 It is not known whether extensive soft-tissue releases, carried out for long-term success, are compromised by short-term complications.

This multicentre project has been designed to study prospectively the influence of soft-tissue balancing on the outcome of TKR. Utilising the knee balancer instrument, we have investigated the effect of balance on the short-term outcome after surgery. Further follow-up
of the patients in this study will allow long-term results to be assessed. We have compared traditional methods of balancing of the knee with objective assessments, both before and after soft-tissue releases.

**Patients and Methods**

Between October 1999 and December 2002, we recruited 506 patients (526 knees) into a multicentre prospective study involving seven surgeons from five hospitals in the United Kingdom. The details of the patients are summarised in Table I. All those due to have a primary TKR were eligible for recruitment regardless of the pathology, except for those with a Charcot or ankylosed joint. Ethical approval was obtained and consent given by those included in the study.

Pre-operatively, the patients were assessed using the Oxford knee score (OKS), the American Knee Society clinical rating system, and the roentgenographic and evaluation scoring system. In all cases a Kinemax Plus Total Condylar cemented knee prosthesis (Stryker UK) was implanted, and all the surgeons used identical bone-cutting instrumentation, cementing technique and similar post-operative rehabilitation.

The balance of the knee was assessed in two positions, first in full extension and then in 90° of flexion to determine both the flexion and extension gaps. However, the surgeons used different surgical techniques to obtain balance of the knee, with five employing trial components, spacers, or a calibrated measuring device (Gobot, Stryker UK) to equalise the flexion and extension gaps as judged from the intermedullary canal and posterior condyles. The remaining two surgeons who had previous experience in the use of the balancer instrument undertook measurements of balance after the distal and posterior femoral and proximal tibial cuts had been made. Depending on the measurements obtained, soft-tissue releases were performed as required, until the measured balance was achieved. All the surgeons recorded the soft-tissue releases performed to balance the knee whichever method was used. Following the definitive bone cuts and completion of all soft-tissue releases, they all
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**Table I. Clinical details of the 506 patients entered into the study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean age at operation in yrs (range)</th>
<th>70 (40 to 90)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>230 (244 knees)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>276 (282 knees)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee replacement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unilateral</td>
<td>486 (257 right, 229 left)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>40 (20 patients)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoarthritis</td>
<td>483 (91.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatoid arthritis</td>
<td>40 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-operative deformities (from radiological measurement) (°) (n = 501*)

| Varus                              | 385 (76.8) (-45 to +5) |
| Valgus                             | 75 (15.0) (+11 to +38) |
| Normal alignment                   | 41 (8.2) (+5 to +10) |

*25 radiographs were missing
undertook a final assessment of the balance obtained using the balancer instrument and recorded their findings, before cementing the components. Balance was defined as a range between -3° and +3°.

**Statistical analysis.** Collection and analysis of the data were performed using SPSS version 12 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois). The outcome measures and complications were assessed in three different ways. First, they were analysed according to the extent of the soft-tissue releases which were classified into none/minimal, moderate, or extensive according to the structures released (Table II). The second analysis was performed according to the amount of soft-tissue balance achieved and then thirdly, according to the surgical technique. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to assess the difference between three or more groups with the post hoc Tukey test showing any significance. An

**Table II. Details of the soft-tissue releases and soft-tissue balance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soft-tissue releases</th>
<th>Soft-tissue balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None/minimal</td>
<td>Balanced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial</td>
<td>Balancer measurements -3 to +3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Balanced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial</td>
<td>Balancer measurements -3 to +3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>All previous plus superficial medial ligament and/or pes anserinus partial iliotibial band and/or lateral collateral ligament</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensive</td>
<td>Balanced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial</td>
<td>Balancer measurements -3 to +3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>All previous plus pes anserinus complete and/or any semimembranosus all previous plus popliteus, lateral gastrocnemius and/or biceps femoris</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1

Photographs of the balancer.
The independent t-test was used for the analysis of the two groups of the data set. Statistical significance was defined as $p \leq 0.05$.

**Results**

Of the original 526 knees, 410 (394 patients) were available for review after 12 months. Nine patients (11 knees) had died from unrelated causes, seven (eight knees) had moved away from the area, seven (eight knees) had withdrawn because of other illnesses and five (five knees) had a revision (three for infection, one for loosening and one for unexplained pain). In addition, 14 patients (14 knees) had been lost to follow-up because of persistent non-attendance for review. Five (five knees) no longer wished to keep attending and withdrew from the study, but indicated their satisfaction with their TKR. One centre recruited private patients to the study who lived some distance from the main hospital and five (five knees) withdrew because of the travel requirement. A further 60 patients (60 knees) were unable to attend for the 12-month review for a number of reasons, but have subsequently attended or been contacted by telephone. Any complications were recorded. Only six of these 60 patients still had moderate pain or difficulty with their knee at 12 months after operation, as assessed by the OKS.

When considering the entire cohort (410 knees), the mean OKS improved from a pre-operative score of 42.74 (SD 7.33) to 23.87 (SD 9.02) post-operatively. Likewise, the mean American Society clinical rating knee score increased from 40.25 (SD 19.52) pre-operatively to 87.02 (SD 13.21) post-operatively with the mean range of movement increasing from 90.5˚ (SD 20.5˚) to 103˚ (SD 13.05˚). At 12 months the mean functional score had improved from 45.62 (SD 17.76) pre-operatively to a mean post-operative score of 68.22 (SD 22.89).

**Analysis of outcome in relation to the soft-tissue releases performed.** The knees were grouped according to the extent of the soft-tissue release.

### Table III. Mean (SD) for each of the Oxford knee score (OKS) and the American knee society clinical rating scores and a range of movement for each of the soft-tissue release groups (410 knees at 12 months)

|                     | Group 1 (None/minimal releases 173 knees) | Group 2 (Moderate releases 122 knees) | Group 3 (Extensive releases 115 knees) | p-value (ANOVA*)
|---------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------
| OKS                 |                                            |                                      |                                      |                  
| Pre-operative       | 43.0 (7.4)                                | 42.8 (7.3)                           | 43.0 (7.8)                           | 0.984            
| Change              | 24.9 (9.5)                                | 24.1 (9.3)                           | 22.0 (7.8)                           | 0.025            
| Clinical rating knee score |                                         |                                      |                                      |                  
| Pre-operative       | 45.5 (17.5)                               | 41.3 (19.1)                          | 30.8 (20.7)                          | 0.000            
| Change              | 86.1 (13.9)                               | 87.7 (13.4)                          | 87.7 (11.9)                          | 0.458            
| Clinical rating functional score |                                         |                                      |                                      |                  
| Pre-operative       | 47.5 (17.1)                               | 44.5 (16.3)                          | 43.1 (17.9)                          | 0.082            
| Change              | 67.0 (22.5)                               | 68.9 (22.7)                          | 69.7 (23.8)                          | 0.0986           
| Range of movement (˚) |                                          |                                      |                                      |                  
| Pre-operative       | 97.5 (17.0)                               | 96.0 (19.2)                          | 93.0 (21.0)                          | 0.118            
| Change              | 103.0 (13.5)                              | 103 (13.5)                           | 104.5 (11.5)                         | 0.573            

* ANOVA, analysis of variance

### Table IV. Mean (SD) change in outcome measures for the change in extension balance groups (214 knees from subset of 218)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group a Balance before release and remained balanced (n = 93)</th>
<th>Group c Imbalanced before release and balanced intra-operatively (n = 121)</th>
<th>p-value (t-test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change in mean Oxford knee score</td>
<td>19.2 (9.4)</td>
<td>19.4 (8.3)</td>
<td>0.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in mean clinical rating knee score</td>
<td>45.2 (20.6)</td>
<td>51.6 (24.6)</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in mean clinical rating functional score</td>
<td>21.4 (16.3)</td>
<td>23.3 (20.1)</td>
<td>0.506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in mean range of movement</td>
<td>7.46 (18.2)</td>
<td>9.32 (20.7)</td>
<td>0.518</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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There were 173 knees with none or only minimal releases (group 1), 122 knees with moderate releases (group 2) and 115 knees with extensive releases (group 3).

The mean (SD) pre-operative and 12-month scores for the OKS and the American Knee Society clinical rating system, as well as the change in mean outcome scores are shown in Table III.

There was a significant difference in the change in the mean OKS between groups 1 and 3 (ANOVA p = 0.029). Likewise, the clinical rating system showed a highly significant difference for the knee score and functional score between groups 1 and 3 (ANOVA, p = 0.000, p = 0.006), respectively. The same groups also showed a significant difference in the mean ROM (ANOVA, p = 0.003). If examined separately, the results were more marked for the valgus knees requiring lateral releases (ANOVA, OKS, p = 0.037, knee score, p = 0.000, functional score, p = 0.017, ROM, p = 0.001). A highly significant difference was shown for the pre-operative mean clinical rating knee scores (ANOVA, p = 0.000) between the soft-tissue release groups.

### Analysis of outcome in relation to the soft-tissue balance achieved.

With regard to the extent of balance achieved, both the flexion and extension balance were assessed. For the purpose of analysis, balance was defined as three degrees either side of 0 (-3 to +3). All surgeons recorded balancer measurements pre-cementation (post releases) and no difference was apparent between the balanced or the imbalanced groups relating to outcome when studying the entire cohort (balanced in extension, n = 444, imbalanced n = 82; balanced in flexion, n = 394, imbalanced n = 132; balanced in both, n = 358; balanced in neither n = 169).

Two surgeons recorded measurements after bony resection to quantify how unbalanced the knees were before undertaking any soft-tissue release. This subset of 218 knees was analysed, comparing the balance of the knee before and after soft-tissue releases. Within this subset, three groups were identified according to the extension balance as follows:

- a) 93 balanced knees soft-tissue release which remained so in extension post-operatively;
- b) four imbalanced knees before release which remained so to some extent post-operatively; and
- c) 121 imbalanced knees before release which were balanced in extension post-operatively.

Analysis was performed for all three groups and repeated with group b excluded to provide greater comparability of the number of knees in each group and to prevent the skewing of data. The change in scores for the mean OKS and the American Knee Society clinical rating system are shown in Table IV.

There was no significant difference between the change in the mean OKS, the mean clinical rating functional score, the mean ROM and the amount of extension balance achieved (t-test, p = 0.873, p = 0.506 and p = 0.518, respectively). However, there was a significant difference with the change in the clinical rating knee score (t-test, p = 0.046). The knees left imbalanced (n = 4) had substantially lower changes in the mean scores (ANOVA, p = 0.037). Those knees imbalanced initially but balanced post-operatively showed the greatest improvement (knee score, t-test; p = 0.046). Exclusion of group b made no difference to the overall statistical significance. Analysis of the mean pre-operative clinical rating knee scores revealed a significant difference between group a, balanced knees before release, and group c, imbalanced knees before release (t-test, p = 0.033).

With regard to flexion balance, four groups were identified as follows:

- i) balanced knees before release which remained so in flexion post-operatively (n = 92);
- ii) balanced knees in flexion before release which became imbalanced post-operatively (n = 18);
- iii) imbalanced knees before release which remained so to some degree post-operatively (n = 25); and
- iv) imbalanced knees before release which were balanced in flexion post-operatively (n = 83).

As with extension balance there was no significant difference between the change in OKS or clinical rating functional scores regardless of the amount of flexion balance achieved (ANOVA, p = 0.686 and p = 0.086, respectively). However, a significant difference was found in both the
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**Table V. Mean (SD) change in Oxford knee score and American Knee Society clinical rating scores and mean range of movement for the change in flexion balance groups (subset of 218 knees)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Balanced before release and remained balanced (n = 92)</th>
<th>Balanced before release and became imbalanced (n = 18)</th>
<th>Imbalanced before release and remained balanced (n = 83)</th>
<th>Imbalanced before release and became balanced (n = 83)</th>
<th>p-value (ANOVA*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change in mean Oxford knee score</td>
<td>19.61 (9.14)</td>
<td>20.67 (7.38)</td>
<td>19.52 (9.86)</td>
<td>18.36 (8.34)</td>
<td>0.686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in mean clinical rating knee score</td>
<td>43.09† (22.46)</td>
<td>54.40 (20.80)</td>
<td>49.04 (23.08)</td>
<td>49.04 (23.08)</td>
<td>0.686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in mean clinical rating functional score</td>
<td>19.18 (15.71)</td>
<td>29.44 (0.14)</td>
<td>25.80 (19.98)</td>
<td>23.67 (20.29)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in mean range of movement</td>
<td>7.08† (18.98)</td>
<td>22.78 (25.16)</td>
<td>1.92 (18.63)</td>
<td>8.84 (21.72)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* ANOVA, analysis of variance
† significant at p ≤ 0.05
clinical rating knee score and the range of movement (ANOVA, \( p = 0.001 \) and \( p = 0.010 \), respectively) between balanced and imbalanced knees (Table V).

By contrast, analysis of the mean pre-operative clinical rating knee scores showed a highly significant difference between the balanced and imbalanced group (ANOVA, \( p = 0.000 \)). This difference between the pre-operative scores between the balanced and imbalanced groups in flexion was similar to the pre-release extension differences with imbalanced knees being initially worse but showing no difference at 12 months after operation.

**Analysis of outcome in relation to surgical technique.** The knees were split into two groups according to when during the operation the measurements and soft-tissue releases were undertaken. No significant difference could be seen between the change in outcome measure scores with either technique (\( t \)-test, OKS, \( p = 0.052 \), American knee society clinical rating, \( p = 0.318 \), American knee society functional rating, \( p = 0.164 \), ROM, \( p = 0.670 \)).

**Complications.** Superficial wound discharge occurred in 36 patients but only three required early revision for deep infection. Two other knees were revised, one for loosening and the other for unexplained pain. Formation of a haeamatoma occurred in 12 knees, of which six required evacuation. Manipulation under anaesthetic was necessary in 27 knees (27 patients) because of stiffness with a range of movement > 75°. There were two deaths within 30 days of surgery. There were 12 cases of symptomatic deep-vein thrombosis, four of non-fatal pulmonary embolus, nine of myocardial infarction, four of pseudo-obstruction and one of a perforated bowel, all of which occurred within the first 12 months after surgery.

No association could be identified between any of the complications, and the extent of release required, the soft-tissue balance achieved or the surgical technique performed.

**Discussion**

Freeman et al\(^2\) and Insall\(^2\) emphasised the concept of soft-tissue balancing and introduced the use of a spacer-tensor device to assess the symmetry of the flexion and extension gaps. They stated that correct soft-tissue balancing would increase longevity and decrease revision rates in TKR.

Sambatakakis et al,\(^8\) described the cement wedge sign which is the presence of a smoothly tapering wedge of cement beneath the horizontal portion of the tibial component on anteroposterior radiographs indicating persistent soft-tissue imbalance and associated with a highly significant increase in radiolucent lines at the cement-bone interface of the tibia at follow-up.

It has been reported that instability after operation is often caused by incorrect balancing of the ligaments.\(^2\)\(^7\) The relationship between the adequacy of ligament release and the severity of polyethylene wear, found at revision, was confirmed by Wasielowski et al.\(^9\) Residual imbalance was associated with loosening, polyethylene wear and failure.

Attfield et al\(^2\) reported that knees balanced in both full extension and in flexion showed improved proprioception post-operatively, whereas those balanced in extension only did not. Mihalko, Whiteside and Krackow\(^2\)\(^9\) also believe that knees should be balanced in both flexion and extension, but it has been questioned whether rectangular gaps are the ideal goal since the knee joint is trapezoidal in shape.\(^3\)\(^0\)

It is generally recognised that ligament releases should be selective\(^3\)\(^1\) and the sequence of releases can dramatically alter the soft-tissue balance and stability of the knee.\(^2\)\(^7\),\(^2\)\(^9\),\(^3\)\(^2\) A small degree of imbalance may be tolerable\(^3\)\(^3\) if alignment is good,\(^4\)\(^4\) particularly since perfect balance is difficult to achieve.\(^3\)\(^5\)

We have demonstrated that the amount of soft-tissue release performed at the time of surgery has a significant effect on both clinical and functional outcomes. Patients undergoing extensive releases showed a significantly greater change in short-term outcomes, including ROM, such that their outcomes at 12 months were equivalent to those of patients undergoing minimal releases. This is contrary to the traditionally perceived view that performing extensive releases would lead to a poorer clinical outcome.\(^2\)\(^0\) One previous study reported that the knees most severely affected pre-operatively, despite showing good improvement, have a poorer outcome than less affected knees and concluded that certain pre-operative factors must influence outcome.\(^3\)\(^6\) Our study suggests that this is not the case in the short-term, since no difference was seen in the clinical outcome at 12 months in any of our groups. Patients who underwent an extensive soft-tissue release had as good an outcome and an equivalent ROM and incidence of complications as those who required minimal or no soft-tissue release. This is contrary to the findings of others.\(^1\)\(^8\),\(^1\)\(^9\)

We recognise the limitations of our study since a sizeable number of patients did not attend for their assessments at 12 months. However, the outcome assessments were carried out by independent observers.

The pioneers of condylar TKR expressed the view that correct soft-tissue balancing would increase longevity and decrease revision rates, especially in the challenging group of young and heavier patients. It was felt that too high a price would be paid in the short-term in order to achieve this long-term aim. However, our analysis of soft-tissue balancing in TKR has shown that in the short term, knees requiring extensive soft-tissue releases have a significantly greater change in clinical outcome and have comparable results to those requiring minimal releases, without leading to increased complications. We have also shown that balancing an imbalanced knee significantly improves the outcome. It remains to be seen whether balancing these knees will lead a better outcome in the longer term.
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