Observations on the natural history of massive lumbar disc herniation
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We have treated 15 patients with massive lumbar disc herniations non-operatively. Repeat MR scanning after a mean 24 months (5 to 56) showed a dramatic resolution of the herniation in 14 patients. No patient developed a cauda equina syndrome.

We suggest that this condition may be more benign than previously thought.

Most herniated lumbar discs resolve spontaneously.1-8 Although smaller herniations may be safely treated non-operatively, massive extrusions and sequestrations are sometimes treated by operation for fear of cauda equina compression. We present the clinical and radiological outcome of 15 patients who were treated conservatively having presented with leg pain and a massive lumbar disc herniation.

Patients and Methods

We have reviewed 15 patients with a massive lumbar disc herniation and a painful radiculopathy who chose not to have surgery. They presented to a general spinal clinic over a period of five years. There were ten women and five men, with a mean age of 45 years (24 to 73). Ten herniations were at the L4-5 level and five at L5-S1. The reason the patients chose to be treated without operation was either that their symptoms had started to improve spontaneously, that they feared surgical complications, or both. To qualify as a ‘massive’ herniation at least 50% of the spinal canal had to be occluded by disc material on axial MRI scans. The anteroposterior diameters of the spinal canal and of the disc were measured and the latter expressed as a percentage of the former. The patients were advised to return for emergency discectomy should they develop features of a cauda equina syndrome. Otherwise they were asked back for clinical review and a repeat MR scan. These scans were performed at a mean of 24 months (5 to 56) after the initial scan. All were performed without gadolinium enhancement.

The following criteria were used to distinguish protrusion from extrusion. The disc herniation was deemed to be a protrusion if the greatest distance, in any plane, between the edges of the disc material beyond the disc space was less than the distance between the edges of the base in the same plane. A disc extrusion was deemed to be present if any one distance between the edges of the disc material beyond the disc space was greater than the distance between the edges of the base measured in the same plane. An extrusion was designated a sequestration if the displaced disc material had completely lost continuity with the parent disc.9

Results

All 15 disc herniations were classified as extrusions, six of which were sequestrations. All were uncontained as there was no surrounding annulus.

The mean percentage of the canal occupied by disc on an axial MR scan was 66% (55% to 80%). All but one herniation had resolved dramatically by the time of the second MR scan (Fig. 1). The reduction in size of the herniation on MRI was a mean of 80% (68% to 100%).

One patient needed a discectomy because of persistent pain, despite substantial resolution of the disc prolapse on MRI. In another patient whose disc had not resolved radiologically, the symptoms had diminished to such an extent that surgery was not required.

No patient developed a cauda equina syndrome.

Discussion

In 1983, Weber1 showed that the natural history of radiculopathy because of lumbar disc herniation is to clinical resolution. Surgery carried out in the first year gave earlier relief of pain, but thereafter the results of surgery were the same as those of non-operative treatment.
Hibbert and Wicks\textsuperscript{11} examined the size of the spinal disc was extruded on the baseline MR scan. Porter, that nerve root compromise had the best prognosis if the more than bulges and focal protrusions. They concluded protrusions, extrusions and sequestrations improved treated non-operatively. They found that broad-based morphology in 154 patients with sciatica who were clinically.\textsuperscript{3,10} Jensen et al\textsuperscript{8} studied the changes in disc the overall number, just as we find in our practice. It is likely that they would have formed a tiny proportion of may well have been massive herniations in that series, but it is always deserve its fearsome reputation.

Weber’s study predates MR scanning, and it is understand-able that there was no myelographic follow-up to see the radiological outcome of non-operative treatment. There may well have been massive herniations in that series, but it is likely that they would have formed a tiny proportion of the overall number, just as we find in our practice.

The spontaneous resolution of lumbar disc herniation has been demonstrated both on CT scanning\textsuperscript{2,5,6} and clinically.\textsuperscript{3,10} Jensen et al\textsuperscript{8} studied the changes in disc morphology in 154 patients with sciatica who were treated non-operatively. They found that broad-based protrusions, extrusions and sequestrations improved more than bulges and focal protrusions. They concluded that nerve root compromise had the best prognosis if the disc was extruded on the baseline MR scan. Porter, Hibbert and Wicks\textsuperscript{11} examined the size of the spinal canal and showed that patients with small canals at the L5 level were more likely to require discectomy. There was no relationship between the type of herniation, pro- trusion or sequestration and canal diameter in those who underwent surgery. The authors did not examine the size of the disc herniations. In our study we examined the size of the herniation in relation to the diameter of the canal. It would be interesting to extend this and compare canal size in our cohort of patients to a matched group of patients with massive disc herniations who required sur-gery.

There are two studies\textsuperscript{7,10} which have shown that larger disc herniations decrease in size and to a greater extent than do smaller protrusions.

Bozzao et al\textsuperscript{7} had eight patients in their series of 69 with disc herniations which occupied more than 50\% of the canal. Six of these had reduced in size by more than 70\% on follow-up axial MR scans.

The dramatic resolution of these massive extrusions is probably due to the loss of the immune privilege that the normal disc enjoys when covered by the outer annulus. Only when the disc ruptures are macrophages in the epider-{


tural space free to act on the disc material. Nevertheless, the ability of the body to clear the spinal canal of a massive extrusion is impressive.

The fear of a missed cauda equina syndrome prompts some surgeons to operate on massive discs. This fear may be misplaced. In our admittedly limited study, treating mas-sive extrusions non-operatively did not result in complica-

ations. Surgery for lumbar disc herniation, by contrast, is not uncomplicated. The rate of recurrence in our institution is 7.9\%\textsuperscript{12} requiring further and more difficult surgery through dense scar tissue surrounding the affected nerve root. An audit of discectomies by the British Association of Spine Surgeons\textsuperscript{13} revealed a leak of cerebrospinal fluid in 7.9\%12 requiring further and more difficult surgery through dense scar tissue surrounding the affected nerve root. An audit of discectomies by the British Association of Spine Surgeons\textsuperscript{13} revealed a leak of cerebrospinal fluid in 7.9\%12 requiring further and more difficult surgery through dense scar tissue surrounding the affected nerve root.

Although massive herniations are rarely left alone for well-understood reasons, this small cohort of patients reveals a more benign side to this pathology which may not always deserve its fearsome reputation.

No benefits in any form have been received or will be received from a commer-cial party related directly or indirectly to the subject of this article.
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