Transmission of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus among hospital staff in a German trauma centre

A PROBLEM WITHOUT A CURRENT SOLUTION?
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Between October 2001 and February 2002, 324 healthcare workers were screened for methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) by nose and throat swabs. A positive finding led to activation of a standardised control programme for the affected person who was immediately excluded from work. Family members of those who were MRSA-positive were offered screening free of charge. An eradication programme was carried out in the permanent carriers. MRSA was found in 17 (5.3%) healthcare workers, 11 of whom proved to be permanent carriers, and six temporarily colonised. Three children of a positive healthcare worker showed nasopharyngeal MRSA, the acquisition of which occurred within the hospital. The standardised eradication programme for carriers was successful in most cases but failed in two individuals, whereupon systemic antibiotics were used successfully. The decolonised carriers, observed for more than one year, remained MRSA negative.

Isolation precautions in hospitals do not always prevent hospital staff and their families from acquiring MRSA. The identification of affected employees is difficult because in most cases only asymptomatic colonisation occurs. Screening and eradication can be complicated and costly, and for the affected employees the occupational consequences can be far-reaching as they have no guaranteed legal protection.

Strains of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) are responsible for almost half of hospital-acquired staphylococcal infections and are associated with increased mortality.1-3 In Japan, up to 90% of Staph. aureus isolated from inpatients in general hospitals are methicillin resistant.4 In Europe, Germany has the highest increase in the prevalence of MRSA.1 Treatment options are limited, and the expense of MRSA infection is significant. In the USA, the cost of catheter-associated sepsis caused by MRSA, significantly exceeds that of disease caused by methicillin-sensitive strains.5 In Germany, the avoidable costs on a surgical ward are estimated at €9621 per case of MRSA.6

There are several ways in which transmission of MRSA can occur, the most relevant being through contamination of the hands.7 Carriers of MRSA, whether patients or healthcare workers, are colonised predominantly in the nares.8 This reservoir can cause hand contamination, the strain of which is typically in the nares.9 Colonised healthcare workers are generally asymptomatic, but they create a potential reservoir of infection for susceptible patients. They can also transmit the bacteria at home.10,11 Symptomatic MRSA infections among healthcare workers have been described.12-14 Yet whilst contact isolation and the eradication of MRSA have been noted as important measures in colonised patients, the procedure for colonised healthcare workers remains unclear. Screening them for MRSA, for example, is controversial. Whereas the Dutch recommend screening amongst healthcare workers after exposure to MRSA-positive patients,15 the guidelines of the German16 and North American specialist associations17 are restrictive. Opponents of universal staff screening argue that there is no proof of its benefit, and that there is a risk of stigmatisation of those affected, as well as of exposure to potentially toxic decolonisation procedures.18 Therefore selective screening is advised.19

The percentage of MRSA carriers among the patients in our surgical department ranged between 3.2% in 2001 and 5.6% in 2002. A screening programme was undertaken in healthcare workers in order to control the possible transmission of MRSA from staff to patients. The possibility of MRSA transmission from these workers to their families was also investigated.
Materials and Methods

We conducted the study in a level I trauma centre at a 750-bed German hospital, where approximately 13 000 surgical inpatients are treated annually. Patients with MRSA colonisation or infection are treated according to the contact isolation principle. The affected patient is accommodated in a private room with its own sanitary facilities and only ever leaves this room for diagnostic or therapeutic reasons. All those who enter the room are instructed to wear gloves, masks and gowns. On a daily basis, all wipeable surfaces are disinfected and the beddin is changed. Between October 2001 and February 2002, 324 doctors and nurses involved in the treatment of MRSA-positive patients were screened by swabbing the nose and throat. The anterior nares was sampled by rotating a moistened, sterile, cotton-tipped swab in one nostril. The throat was swabbed in a circular motion.

The swabs were cultured on sheep blood agar plates with 4% NaCl after inoculation on to approximately one-third of the plate. The inoculum was streaked over the remaining plate in at least two separate thirds. The plates were incubated for 48 hours at 36°C. Swab cultures were enriched in trypticase soya broth with 10% NaCl for 48 hours at 36°C and subcultured on to sheep blood agar plates. Identification of \textit{Staph. aureus} was by morphology, production of DNase (Oxoid, Basingstoke, United Kingdom) and mannitol (Oxoid) and the presence of free-plasma coagulase using DNAse (Oxoid, Basingstoke, United Kingdom) and mannitol. Oxacillin susceptibility was tested using Mueller-Hinton broth (Oxoid, Basingstoke, United Kingdom) and the presence of free-plasma coagulase using DNAse (Oxoid, Basingstoke, United Kingdom) and mannitol. Oxacillin susceptibility was tested using Mueller-Hinton broth (Oxoid, Basingstoke, United Kingdom) and the presence of free-plasma coagulase using DNAse (Oxoid, Basingstoke, United Kingdom) and mannitol. Oxacillin susceptibility was tested using Mueller-Hinton broth (Oxoid, Basingstoke, United Kingdom) and the presence of free-plasma coagulase using DNAse (Oxoid, Basingstoke, United Kingdom) and mannitol.

\begin{table}
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Site} & \textbf{Treatment} & \textbf{Frequency} \\
\hline
Nose & Mupirocin nasal ointment (Turixin, SmithKline Beecham, Germany) & 3 times daily for 5 days \\
Throat & See nose. In addition, throat irrigation with octenidine dihydrochloride solution (Octenisept, Schuelke & Mayr, Norderstedt, Germany) diluted with distilled water 1:4 & Once daily for 5 days \\
Skin & Whole-body washing and hair washing with octenidine dihydrochloride solution (Octenisept) dilution with tap water 1:1 & Once daily for 5 days \\
Skin lesions and nasopharyngeal lesions & No standardised measures but individual treatment by a specialist physician in the relevant discipline & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Standardised methicillin-resistant \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} eradication regimen. Treatment over five days represents one cycle.}
\end{table}

Results

Methicillin-sensitive \textit{Staph. aureus} was found in 118 (36.4%) healthcare workers and MRSA in 17 (5.3%). According to follow-up screening 11 (3.4%) were permanently colonised and six (1.8%) were temporarily colonised. The occupational groups did not differ significantly with regard to the colonisation rate (p = 0.356, Kruskal-Wallis test). The family of one MRSA-positive healthcare worker was included. No MRSA was found in the spouse, but their three children had nasopharyngeal colonisation with MRSA. They had no risk factors nor any signs of illness. The carriers among healthcare workers also had no disease attributable to MRSA. Two MRSA-positive healthcare workers had a mild non-febrile upper respiratory tract...
infection when screened. Both were referred to specialists, who excluded lower respiratory tract and nasopharyngeal infection. As there was rapid (less than five days) and complete regression of symptoms, no other microbiological tests were performed. No healthcare worker who tested positive for MRSA had skin lesions.

The acquisition of MRSA occurred within the hospital in all carriers, and the strains found in staff were identical to those in patients.

All MRSA carriers underwent the eradication programme. Nine of 11 healthcare workers and the three children were successfully decolonised after an average of 1.5 treatment cycles. In two healthcare workers the programme failed and even after the third cycle, pharyngeal MRSA was found in both. They were referred to an Ear, Nose, and Throat specialist and decolonisation with systemic antibiotics was instituted. Both received oral treatment for ten days with 800 mg co-trimoxazole (twice daily) and 600 mg rifampicin (once daily). The first follow-up screening was performed two days afterwards and MRSA was not detected on this, or two further tests.

The decolonised carriers, observed for more than one year, remained MRSA negative. One of them left because of a career change and was not available for follow-up.

Discussion

The percentage of permanent MRSA carriers (3.4%) among staff in our investigation corresponds to that of MRSA-positive surgical patients. This compares with previously reported rates among staff of between 0.9% and 13.2%.²,³,¹⁴ Despite agreement that healthcare workers can be involved in spreading MRSA, control measures to prevent this are controversial.⁸,¹⁰,¹⁸,¹⁹ The Robert Koch Institute approves staff screening only when there is increased detection of MRSA in several patients and proven clonal identity.¹⁶ Blok et al⁸ argue that isolation precautions do not always prevent healthcare workers from acquiring MRSA, but that post-exposure screening and exclusion of MRSA-positive workers from work are highly effective in protecting other patients. Conversely, Bowler¹⁸ postulates that there is little evidence that such activity contributes to control. In German-speaking countries, active post-exposure screening of healthcare workers is not routine, primarily because of the consequences for management and hospital staff. In the case of permanent carriers, further employment of that healthcare worker is not advised where there is patient contact without eradication of the bacteria. Continuing to work with uninterrupted use of masks, gowns and gloves leads to stigmatisation and cannot be expected of patients or the affected healthcare worker. Decolonisation with mupirocin ointment and octenidine dihydrochloride baths proves effective in this and in other investigations,²⁰ but does not provide a universal solution. Failure of this regimen can have various causes, from risk factors in the skin or pharynx, such as chronic eczema or tonsillitis, to recurrent colonisation from the contaminated home environment. Allen et al¹⁰ describe the case of a nurse in whom permanent eradication of MRSA was not achieved with mupirocin ointment, chlorhexidine washing and systemic antibiotic treatment. Only by thorough cleaning of the contaminated home environment was permanent eradication achieved after ten months, and the authors conclude that early detection and prompt treatment of MRSA in healthcare workers can minimise the risk of home contamination.¹⁰

The duration of MRSA colonisation is possibly an important parameter in dissemination. However, in our study the home environment was not investigated. If three cycles of the eradication regimen failed, systemic antibiotic treatment was given, which is also reported by others.⁸,¹⁰,¹⁴ Criticism of systemic antibiotic treatment in asymptomatic persons is unjustified because we found it led to permanent eradication of the organism and resumption of professional work. Risk factors for permanent colonisation must be recognised, and affected healthcare workers referred to appropriate medical specialists. The involvement of healthcare workers with risk factors in the treatment of MRSA-positive patients should also be restricted.

Temporary exclusion from work is justifiable and practicable, but expensive. Permanent exclusion was not necessary in our study but it is described in The Netherlands,¹⁰ with the possible consequence of a career change. Such a drastic professional, social and economic outcome raises the question of whether those affected should be regarded as victims or culprits, and whether colonisation with a multi-resistant organism without manifest disease justifies exclusion from work. Moral support and counselling must be provided, but these do not solve the problem of the risk to the livelihood of those affected and to their personal professional development.

Addressing these problems in legislation is vital. German statutory accident insurance (gesetzliche Unfallversicherung) does consider permanent contamination of healthcare workers with MRSA. Specialist associations, responsible for competence, have been asked for prospective solutions, and approval or rejection of staff screening is not decisive. It is more important to draw up clearly structured policies for colonised staff to include prevention and management of outbreaks among medical staff.

There is one instance in our study in which family screening was undertaken. Most family members were positive MRSA carriers and successfully decontaminated. Other authors have described transmission of MRSA to family members,¹⁰,²¹ with Eveillard et al²¹ reporting such transmission in four out of ten families. This demonstrates that transmission of MRSA beyond the healthcare setting may be a source of MRSA colonisation in the community. In their study, Calfee et al²² defined a group of individuals as close contacts of colonised patients and found that they had a significantly higher risk of colonisation with MRSA. Screening of family members should therefore supplement staff screening.
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