Visualisation of the pisotriquetral joint through standard portals for arthroscopy of the wrist

A CLINICAL AND ANATOMICAL STUDY

A. P. Arya,
R. Kulshreshtha,
G. K. Kakarala,
R. Singh,
J. P. Compson

From Kings College Hospital, London, England

Disorders of the pisotriquetral joint are well recognised as the cause of pain on the ulnar side of the wrist. The joint is not usually examined during routine arthroscopy because it is assumed to have a separate joint cavity to the radiocarpal joint, although there is often a connection between the two.

We explored this connection during arthroscopy and in fresh-frozen cadaver wrists and found that in about half of the cases the pisotriquetral joint could be visualised through standard wrist portals. Four different types of connection were observed between the radiocarpal joint and the pisotriquetral joint. They ranged from a complete membrane separating the two, to no membrane at all, with various other types of connection in between.

We recommend that inspection of the pisotriquetral joint should be a part of the protocol for routine arthroscopy of the wrist.

Disorders of the pisiform bone and the pisotriquetral joint are well recognised as causes of pain on the ulnar side of the wrist. They include acute fractures of the pisiform,1 malunion and nonunion of the pisiform,2 pisotriquetral degenerative changes,3,4 loose bodies in the pisotriquetral joint,5 maltracking of the pisiform, pisotriquetral instability and the pisotriquetral pain syndrome after release of the carpal tunnel.6 Disorders of the pisotriquetral joint have been investigated by various methods including radiography, isotope bone scanning and MRI, but there have been no reports of the use of arthroscopy as an investigative tool for visualisation of this joint.

Arthroscopically, the wrist consists of four separate joints: the radiocarpal, midcarpal, distal radioulnar and the pisotriquetral joint. The radiocarpal and midcarpal joints are routinely visualised in arthroscopy of the wrist. The distal radioulnar joint can be seen either by using a smaller arthroscope from a separate portal or through the opening found in some types of triangular fibrocartilage tear. There is, however, little awareness of the existence of the pisotriquetral joint as a separate joint or that it often communicates with the radiocarpal joint. Textbooks6-9 on arthroscopy barely mention the pisotriquetral joint or the technique of its visualisation. Direct arthroscopy of the joint is difficult because of its small size and the risk of damage to the dorsal branch of the ulnar nerve.9 Visualisation of the pisotriquetral joint from the main radiocarpal joint would avoid this risk and potentially make the procedure easier.

We have examined the gross and arthroscopic anatomy of the connection between the radiocarpal joint and the pisotriquetral joint, its variability and the frequency of its visualisation during arthroscopy of the wrist.

Patients and Methods

We attempted to visualise the pisotriquetral joint in 98 patients who underwent wrist arthroscopy for various conditions between February 2003 and April 2006 (Table I). The type of connection between the radiocarpal joint and pisotriquetral joint was recorded.

There were 44 males and 54 females with a mean age of 36 years (12 to 68). Most were young adults (Table II). In 60 (62%) the right wrist underwent arthroscopy.

Operative technique. All the arthroscopies were performed by the senior author (JPC) using the same method with the wrist suspended by finger traps and with 2.27 kg (5 lbs) of countertraction. A 2.7 mm arthroscope was introduced through the 6R portal9 to visualise the pisotriquetral joint.9 The triangular fibrocartilage was used for orientation and the lunate was identified. The arthroscope was swept dis-
Cadaver studies. Inserted into the pisotriquetral joint. If the opening was large enough, the arthroscope could be expected and perseverance is sometimes required. The opening is normally found more ulnars than volarly and observation of a cross-section of the pisotriquetral joint revealed a well-formed circumferential synovial membrane that was clearly visualised (Fig. 1d). In four cadavers, with a horseshoe-shaped and incomplete synovial membrane in the remaining four.

Table I. Indications for wrist arthroscopy in the 98 patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain in the ulnar side of the wrist</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal wrist pain</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunate-related disorders</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaphoid-related disorders</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II. Distribution of patients by age and gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 40</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 40</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III. Incidences of the different types of pisotriquetral joint

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of joint</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*in 11 patients, the pisotriquetral joint could not be accessed

Discussion

The pisotriquetral joint is well recognised as a site of pain on the ulnar side of the wrist.1,5 Yamaguchi et al described

See text for details on anatomical studies and arthroscopic observations.

Visualization was helped by either increasing the insufflation pressure or by pushing the pisiform from the volar side. The opening is normally found more ulnars than volarly and observation of a cross-section of the pisotriquetral joint revealed a well-formed circumferential synovial membrane that was clearly visualised (Fig. 1d). In four cadavers, with a horseshoe-shaped and incomplete synovial membrane in the remaining four.

Discussion

The pisotriquetral joint is the smallest of the four joints of the wrist. Although separate, it is often connected to the radiocarpal joint through a fenestration. The gross anatomy and kinematics of the pisotriquetral joint have been well described.1,2,10-12 It is a synovial diarthrosis and is enclosed in a small, but usually loose and tough capsule. For many years, the pisiform bone was considered to be a vestigial structure, but recent kinematic studies have indicated that its function is probably similar to that of the patella, in that it extends the lever arm of the tendon of flexor carpi ulnaris away from the centre of the rotation of the wrist and increases the flexor force.4

Filling of the pisotriquetral joint during arthrography of the proximal wrist is a common finding which occurs in approximately one-third of normal wrists.13 The connection between the proximal wrist and the pisotriquetral joint has also been noted in anatomical studies.14,15 Viegas et al found such a connection in 88% of 76 fresh cadaver wrists, but they were not certain whether it represented a normal anatomical variation or a pathological finding.

The pisotriquetral joint is well recognised as a site of pain on the ulnar side of the wrist.1,5 Yamaguchi et al described
various patterns of degenerative change in the pisotriquetral joint in a study of 80 cadaver wrists. Arthroscopy is a reliable means of diagnosing intra-articular conditions in the wrist, particularly wear or damage to the articular surface. However, there have been few reports on arthroscopy of the pisotriquetral joint. Whipple et al 7 described the appearance of the normal pisotriquetral joint seen on arthroscopy through an aperture in the ulnar capsule, located slightly volar and distal to the prestyloid recess. They commented that, when present, this represented a normal variant which afforded the examiner an opportunity to look for synovial hypertrophy or marginal articular degeneration in the pisotriquetral joint. In another report, Whipple, Poehling and Roth 16 postulated that visualisation of the pisiform bone is occasionally possible in the normal wrist and, if it is very evident, a tear of the ulnotriquetral ligament must be considered. We disagree, and believe that

Arthroscopy showing a) type I, b) type II, c) type III and d) type IV connection between the pisotriquetral and the radiocarpal joint.
this underestimates the information which may be potentially gained, since we have been able to see most of the joint and especially the surface of the pisiform bone in about half of our cases. We do not consider that this visualisation of the pisotriquetral joint is the result of trauma, but rather is due to the type of anatomical variant present. Arthroscopy of the wrist is gradually increasing in popularity and inspection of the pisotriquetral joint should be a routine part of the procedure particularly in the presence of pain on the ulnar side.
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