The insertion geometry of the posterolateral corner of the knee
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We have quantitatively documented the insertion geometry of the main stabilising structures of the posterolateral corner of the knee in 34 human cadavers. The lateral collateral ligament inserted posterior (4.6 mm, SD 2) and proximal (1.3 mm, SD 3.6) to the lateral epicondyle of the femur and posterior (8.1 mm, SD 3.2) to the anterior point of the head of the fibula. On the femur, the popliteus tendon inserted distally (11 mm, SD 0.8) and either anterior or posterior (mean 0.84 mm anterior, SD 4) to the lateral collateral ligament. The popliteofibular ligament inserted distal (1.3 mm, SD 1.2) and anterior (0.5 mm, SD 2.0) to the tip of the styloid process of the fibula.

The ligaments had a consistent pattern of insertion and, despite the variation between specimens, the standard deviations were less than the typical size of drill hole used in reconstruction of the posterolateral corner. The data provided in this study can be used in the anatomical repair and reconstruction of this region of the knee.

In recent years it has become increasingly clear that the posterolateral corner of the knee plays an important role in maintaining stability. The structures of the posterolateral corner play a major role in resisting varus and external rotation forces and posterior translation. Isolated lesions of the posterolateral corner are rare but can nevertheless cause severe disability because of instability and secondary degeneration of the articular cartilage. Most injuries to the area occur in combination with disruption of the posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) or, to a lesser extent, the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL). It has been suggested that unrecognised and subsequently untreated injury to the posterolateral corner is associated with an increased risk of failure of a reconstructed cruciate ligament. The goals of surgical repair are to restore normal knee kinematics and to reduce the risk of progressive degenerative arthritis. However, the posterolateral corner has a complex and variable anatomy and there is no current consensus on the operative treatment of choice, although various techniques of isometric and anatomical reconstruction have been developed. Anatomical reconstruction requires exact knowledge of the insertion geometry of the structures involved. The lateral collateral ligament (LCL), the popliteus complex (popliteus and its tendon), and the popliteofibular ligament, are considered to be the main contributors to posterolateral stability. Their functional contribution has been well-documented in a number of studies. Reconstruction of the corner requires the ability to identify anatomical landmarks peri-operatively. Geometric data to assist with this have only once been published, by LaPrade et al. Consequently, the aim of this cadaver dissection study was to provide data, which could be used peri-operatively, on the insertion geometry of the LCL, the popliteus tendon and the popliteofibular ligament and their variability in the human knee, by reference to relevant anatomical and surgical landmarks.

Materials and Methods
We dissected 34 intact human, cadaver, formalin-preserved knee joints from 34 adults without signs of gross bony deformity, previous fracture or degenerative disease and with intact ligaments in the posterolateral corner. No data on the age and gender of the donors were available, but usually they were older than 60 years. The LCL, the popliteus muscle and tendon and the popliteofibular ligament were identified and the circumference of their insertion into the femur and the fibula marked with lead wires. Relevant bony landmarks on the femur and head of the fibula were similarly marked. On the femur these landmarks were the lateral epicondyle and the lateral cartilaginous border of the lateral condyle (Fig. 1). On the head of the fibula two landmarks were chosen; the
most proximal point on the styloid process and the most anterior point on the anterior surface of the head (Fig. 2). After dissection and marking, each femur and each tibiofibular combination was embedded in polymethylmeth-
Femur. The LCL inserted a mean of 1.3 mm (SD 3.6; -6.3 to 7.8) proximal and 4.6 mm (SD 2.0; -1.0 to 8.1) posterior to the lateral epicondyle; 23 insertions were located proximal and 11 distal to the epicondyle, 32 were located posterior and two anterior. The popliteus tendon inserted a mean of 9.7 mm (SD 3.9; 1.0 to 17.3) distal and 5.3 mm (SD 5.4; 1.5 to 13.4) posterior to the lateral epicondyle. All insertions of the popliteus tendon were located distally and posteriorly to the lateral epicondyle, except one, which was proximal and two which were anterior. The popliteus tendon inserted a mean of 11 mm (SD 4.0; 3.5 to 17.9) distal and 0.84 mm (SD 4; -8.5 to 9.5) anterior to the LCL insertion. Nineteen insertions of the popliteus tendon were located anterior and 15 posterior to the LCL insertion. The variation in the location of the insertions of the LCL and popliteus tendon on the femur is shown in Figure 4. The mean surface area of the insertions was 51.7 mm$^2$ (22.9 to 88.8) for the LCL and 65.9 mm$^2$ (31 to 104) for the popliteus tendon, respectively.

Fibula. All insertions of the popliteofibular ligament were located on the styloid process of the head of the fibula; the central point of each insertion was located immediately anterior (22 insertions) or posterior (12) to the most proximal point. Insertions were located a mean of 1.3 mm distally (SD 1.2; 0.6 to 3.9) and a mean of 0.50 mm (SD 2.0; -3.5 to 4.4) anteriorly. The LCL inserted 13.7 mm (SD 2.9; 7.6 to 19.2) distal and 11.7 mm (SD 4.5; 4.0 to 21.6) anterior to the most proximal point on the styloid process. The LCL insertion was a mean of 11.2 mm (SD 4.6; 0.6 to 13.5) posterior and 12.3 mm (SD 3.3; 2.5 to 20.3) distal to the insertion of the popliteofibular ligament. All LCL insertions were located anterior to the insertion of the popliteofibular ligament and anterior to the most proximal point on the head of the fibula (Fig. 5). The LCL insertion was a mean of 8.1 mm (SD 3.2; 2.0 to 16.1) posterior and 0.40 mm (SD 3.5; -5.9 to 7.2) distal relative to the most anterior point of the head; 16 insertions were located proximally and 19 distally. The mean surface area of the insertions was 35 mm$^2$ (15 to 84) for the LCL and 17 mm$^2$ (8.6 to 33.4) for the popliteofibular ligament, respectively.

Discussion
The aim of this study was to provide data on the insertion geometry of the major structures of the posterolateral corner by using identifiable bony landmarks. We found that on the femur and fibula the insertion sites of the LCL, popliteus tendon and popliteofibular ligament can be described in a reproducible way relative to the lateral epicondyle of the femur, and the styloid process and anterior border of the head of the fibula. The position of the insertions was largely similar between the 34 specimens and in agreement with previous reports. However, the data show that there is some variation in the position of the insertions.
in the posterolateral corner between specimens, most notably for the insertion of the LCL and popliteus tendon on the femur. In contrast with earlier reports, our study provides both quantitative and qualitative information about the posterolateral corner, and the insertion of popliteus onto the fibula.

LaPrade et al.\textsuperscript{16} gave a qualitative and quantitative morphological analysis of the LCL, popliteus tendon, popliteofibular ligament and lateral gastrocnemius tendon in ten fresh-frozen cadaver knees. We agree with their findings as our specimens demonstrated that the LCL had no significant attachment to the lateral epicondyle itself. The LCL inserted a mean of 4.6 mm posteriorly and 1.3 mm proximally to the lateral epicondyle, similar to the 3.1 mm and 1.4 mm, respectively, documented by LaPrade et al.\textsuperscript{16} Our data also showed a greater variation in the insertion site of the LCL, which was not always located proximal and posterior to the epicondyle, as reported by LaPrade et al.\textsuperscript{16} From a practical standpoint, the remains of the LCL insertion can usually be found during surgery, except when the epicondylar area has been fractured or if previous surgery has been performed.

We found that the popliteus tendon generally inserted in a straight line, parallel to the long axis of the femur, a mean of 11 mm distal and 0.84 mm anterior to the LCL insertion. However, this position varied between 8.5 mm posterior and 9.5 mm anterior to the LCL. Quantitatively, LaPrade et al.\textsuperscript{16} reported that the popliteus tendon invariably inserted anterior to the LCL insertion, positioned 18.5 mm obliquely to it, in the proximal half and anterior one-fifth of the popliteal sulcus. Qualitatively, LaPrade et al.\textsuperscript{16} and Staubli and Birrer\textsuperscript{18} described the popliteus tendon as inserting proximally, at the anterior end of the popliteal sulcus on the lateral femoral condyle. The popliteal sulcus is a reliable landmark which can be used as a peri-operative reference point and is usually easily palpable. However, we did not document its position in our study.

The position of the insertions on the head of the fibula is similar to data reported by others.\textsuperscript{16,18} Invariably, all insertions of the popliteofibular ligaments were closely related to the tip of the styloid process. The LCL inserted immediately above, or below, a straight line through the anterior point of the head, a mean of 8.2 mm posteriorly and 0.40 mm distally, compared with the 8.2 mm measured by LaPrade et al.\textsuperscript{16} During surgery, the remains of the LCL insertion onto the fibula can usually be found below the bursa of the long head of the biceps.

Apart from the variation in the position of the insertions of the LCL and popliteus tendon, we also found a large variation in the calculated surface area of the insertions for both from 31 to 104 mm\textsuperscript{2} for the popliteus tendon and from 15 to 84 mm\textsuperscript{2} for the LCL. These figures compared with 53 to 62 mm\textsuperscript{2} and 62 to 73 mm\textsuperscript{2}, respectively, reported by LaPrade et al.\textsuperscript{16}

The standard deviations for the insertion locations on the femur and fibula in our study are relatively small, ranging from 1.2 to 5.4 mm, particularly when compared with the diameter (8 mm) of a typical drill hole used in reconstruction of the posterolateral corner. This suggests that there will always be an overlap in its position if mean values for insertion geometry are used.
Whether differences between our data and that provided by LaPrade et al. are because of the larger number of knees we used, and therefore a reflection of normal variation, or perhaps differences in measurement technique and study design, cannot be determined. A direct comparison of the measurements between LaPrade et al. and our study may not be reliable. Except for the LCL insertion onto the femur, LaPrade et al. only reported the direct distance between the insertions of the LCL and the popliteus tendon (18.5 mm) on the femur and between the anterior point of the head and the LCL on the fibula (8.2 mm). For our study those values were 11 and 8.2 mm, respectively. LaPrade et al. did not provide data on the position of these structures in either craniocaudal or anteroposterior planes and did not report on how specimen positioning was controlled or if scaling was performed. Furthermore, a difference in the angle between the femur and tibia/fibula during measurements may account for part of the differences in the position of the insertion of the popliteus tendon relative to the insertion of the LCL (Fig. 3). In our study the angle between the femur and tibia/fibula was 0°, because both the posterofemoral and tibial cortices were parallel.

This dissection study of the postero-lateral corner has provided further quantitative data on the insertion geometry of the LCL, popliteus tendon and popliteofibular ligament. The insertions of the LCL and popliteus tendon onto the femur and fibula can be described in a reproducible way. We consider that these data can be safely used in the anatomical repair and reconstruction of the postero-lateral structures of the knee.
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