Correspondence

We welcome letters to the Editor concerning articles which have recently been published. Such letters will be subject to the usual stages of selection and editing; where appropriate the authors of the original article will be offered the opportunity to reply.

Letters should normally be under 300 words in length, double-spaced throughout, signed by all authors and fully referenced. The edited version will be returned for approval before publication.

The radial and posterior interosseous nerves

Sir,
We read with interest the article in July 2001 issue by Shergill et al.1 entitled ‘The radial and posterior interosseous nerve: results of 260 repairs.’

We have performed repair of the radial nerve in 11 patients using a different technique.2 Our patients had repair in the spiral groove 12 months after injury. They were followed up clinically and electrophysiologically over a period of four years with good results.

Anatomical studies have consistently shown two branches of the radial nerve to the medial head of triceps arising proximal to the spiral groove. The larger of these two branches was used for nerve grafting with good results in defects in which mobilisation and end-to-end anastomosis were not possible.

We agree that the outcome of successful repair of the radial nerve is better than musculotendinous transfer. This new technique of nerve repair should be considered in patients in whom end-to-end anastomosis is not possible. It would be akin to anatomical continuity of the root value of the nerve. If there is no clinical and electrophysiological improvement, then ultimately a musculotendinous transfer can be carried out.

S. ORAKWE, FRCS
R. GORE, FRCS Ed
University Hospital Lewisham
London, UK.


Author’s reply:

Sir,
I much appreciate the interest of Mr Gore and Mr Orakwe in our article, and in particular their reference to the excellent technique of repair by nerve transfer of severe lesions of radial nerves. I was very impressed by the work when I first read the original article.

Our own experience with nerve transfers in lesions which are otherwise irreparable has been mixed.3 I think that it is fair to say that the use of intercostal nerves to reinnervate the wrist extensors has been disappointing. The technique described by Mr Gore and Mr Orakwe is undoubtedly a valuable one.

R. BIRCH, MChir, FRCS
Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital
Stanmore, UK.


The inferior capsular shift operation for instability of the shoulder: long-term results in 34 shoulders

Sir,
I read with interest the article in the March 1999 issue entitled ‘The inferior capsular shift operation for instability of the shoulder: long-term results in 34 shoulders’ by Hamada et al.4 In 11 of 25 shoulders, the authors found some posterolateral defects in the humeral head with irregular surfaces. Nine had a posterior approach or combined posterior and anterior approaches, and two had an anterior approach. These defects were not related to any history of anterior dislocation, were seen secondarily after the operation at a mean of 0.91 years and presented an increasing size and depth in five shoulders. Lateral radiographs showed an irregularity which did not correspond to a site of impaction or bony erosion related to an aggressive synovitis. MRI showed a low signal and the authors concluded that this unusual aspect could be partial postoperative osteonecrosis. Gerber, Schneeberger and Vinh5 have described the role of one branch of the anterior circumflex artery, the arteria arcuata, which is the main intraosseous vessel seen in the humeral head, and a recent study6 has demonstrated that the arterial blood of the subchondral bone of the humeral head is supplied by the posterior circumflex artery in 29 of 32 anatomical specimens. The hypothesis of a posterior osteonecrosis with a secondary local collapse needs confirmation.

F. DUPARC, MD, PhD
Hôpital Charles Nicolle
Rouen, France.


Author’s reply:

Sir,
We thank Dr Duparc for his interest in our article.

We performed the operation according to Neer’s original procedure. We freshened the bone at the sulcus at the posterior neck of the humerus with a chisel and/or a rongeur to facilitate healing of the ligament and capsule to bone in the posterior approach. This could damage the entry of the posterior circumflex
artery into the humeral head and cause necrosis of the posterior part which is supplied mainly by this vessel.

His findings which showed that the arterial blood supply to the subchondral bone of the humeral head was by the posterior circumflex artery in 29 of 32 anatomical specimens may explain the cause of posteroslateral necrosis of the humeral head in our series. Since we observed such a high rate of posteroslateral necrosis (9/14 shoulders), we have now modified our technique. We retract the posterior deltoid muscle superiorly and develop the interval between infraspinatus and teres minor. In order to protect the entry of the posterior circumflex artery, we incise the capsule near its glenoid attachment, and create superior and inferior capsular flaps instead of incising the capsule at the anatomical neck and freshening the sulcus. Since adopting this modification, we have not observed posteroslateral necrosis of the humeral head.

We agree that it is clinically important to preserve the supply from the posterior circumflex artery to the humeral head in order to prevent osteonecrosis after a posterior approach to the shoulder.

K. HAMADA, MD
Tokai University School of Medicine
Kanagawa, Japan.

A comparison of polyethylene wear in hips with cobalt-chrome or zirconia heads

Sir,

I read with interest the article in the July 2001 issue by Young-Hoo Kim et al entitled ‘A comparison of polyethylene wear in hips with cobalt-chrome or zirconia heads’.

There were a number of variables in this study, but in particular it was noted that all 70 patients with the cobalt-chrome heads had Profile femoral components which were uncemented. The 70 patients who had the zirconia heads had Elite/Elite plus femoral components which were cemented.

In 1994 Hernandez et al showed increased rates of wear of polyethylene in uncemented compared with cemented femoral components when examining wear rates with a single acetabular component.

In the light of this, I think that it is very difficult to draw any conclusions about the comparative rates of wear of zirconia and cobalt-chrome heads since the differing femoral prostheses used in this study may have had an effect on outcome.

R. HARGROVE, FRCS
Surrey
UK.


Authors’ reply:

Sir,

I appreciate Mr Hargrove’s interest in our article, and I thank him for pointing out a relevant article which gives useful information regarding the wear rates of polyethylene in cemented and cementless femoral components. There are several studies which report on cementless acetabular fixation either with a cemented (a hybrid hip) or cementless stem. That of Callaghan et al suggests that the wear rate of a cementless femoral component can be equal to or perhaps better than that of a cemented femoral component. Those of Hernandez et al and Nashed, Backer and Gustilo suggest that polyethylene wear may be increased with cementless fixation. These results should be interpreted with caution because of the association with titanium-alloy femoral heads. Because of the decreased resistance to abrasion of titanium alloy, the performance of a bearing with this material would be affected to a greater degree.

Cemented and cementless fixation of the femoral stem in our study are important variables for comparing the wear rate of the polyethylene liner. Unlike other reports, our study was carried out in patients who had bilateral simultaneous total hip replacements in order to eliminate other variables such as age, gender, comorb-
idiities, diagnosis and activity level. In both groups in our study, no hip had aseptic loosening and all implants were well fixed. Therefore we believe that our study was relatively well controlled and allowed determination of the rate of wear of the polyethylene.

YOUNG-HOO KIM, MD
The Joint Replacement Centre of Korea
Seoul, Korea.


Current concepts of respiratory insufficiency syndromes after fracture

Sir,

The review entitled ‘Current concepts of respiratory insufficiency syndromes after fracture’ by Robinson in the August 2001 issue presented a detailed overview of fat embolism syndrome (FES), adult respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and pneumonia relating to trauma patients with fractures. There are two forms of post-traumatic ARDS, both of which result from dysfunction of the patient’s inflammatory response to major trauma. Early post-traumatic ARDS is a result of the activation of this response. When injuries are particularly severe, this may be a ‘one-hit event,’ with pulmonary injury occurring as ‘an inevitable consequence’ of the patient’s injuries. Alternatively, the injuries may be sufficient to prime the inflammatory response, but activation depends on a ‘second hit,’ which may be persisting occult tissue hypoperfusion or even surgical trauma. Late ARDS occurs after three to five days and is associated with the development of infection. It is unclear whether infection associated with late ARDS is a cause of or a symptom of this delayed inflammatory dysfunction. Studies examining ARDS related to musculoskeletal trauma rarely consider the differences in the pathology.

Early stabilisation of fractures is an integral part of the care of the patient with major trauma. The beneficial effects are hard to explain scientifically. Studies tend to show an increase in the inflammatory response, secondary operations and late multiple organ failure. It is unclear whether infection associated with late ARDS is a cause of or a symptom of this delayed inflammatory dysfunction. Studies examining ARDS related to musculoskeletal trauma rarely consider the differences in the pathology.

Sir,

I thank Mr Pallister for his comments on my article. He correctly points out that the aetiology of ARDS is usually different dependent on the timing of its onset. In the early stages, an overwhelming inflammatory response is usually implicated, whereas in the late form, sepsis is usually considered to be the major cause. I am grateful for his clarification of the two current theories of the pathological processes (‘one-hit’ and ‘two-hit’ models), which I have also outlined briefly in my article.

I agree with Mr Pallister that ‘unstable’ patients are of considerable interest, but it is not possible within the existing literature to examine them systematically. They remain a poorly defined group and, as I emphasised in my article, patients with fractures of the long bones and true ‘physiological instability’ are rare. Nowotarski et al reporting from one of the largest tertiary referral centres in the USA, found that only 5% of their patients with fractures of the long bones of a lower limb were in this group. Further work to clarify the basic biological and pathological processes in these individuals may help to enable discrete therapeutic interventions to be made in the future, as an adjunct to surgical intervention.

C. M. ROBINSON
Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh
Edinburgh, UK.